

May 8, 2007

Re: Proceeding 07-57

Dear Sirs:

I wanted to express my support of the Sirius/XM merger. I believe that this proposed merger will be in the best interests of competition within the audio entertainment industry, as the resultant company will be a stronger, more viable competitor with terrestrial radio, i-Pod and other competing interests. I am also of the opinion that prices will not be raised for existing services beyond what is reasonable and expected for the *individual* companies, as satellite radio is, of course, an *optional* pay service, and some of the competition they face is with *free* terrestrial ration. Simply put, it would not be in the new company's best interests to alienate their paying customers.

Frankly, I don't even quite understand why there is much of a question by the commission or the government in general related to this merger. In recent years, we have seen *giant* companies such as Exxon and Mobil merge with less fanfare than this significantly smaller proposed merger. The breakup of AT&T has come back almost full circle to a newly-unified AT&T. I would suggest to you that this merger will have far less impact on consumers than even the two examples I cite for you above, with *far less* economic impact on consumers, especially considering the optional nature of satellite radio service as compared to the importance of being able to purchase gas or phone service.

I urge this commission in the strongest possible way to take a step back, look at the entire competitive landscape, and realize as I do that a strong, merged Sirius and XM will be better for competition AND the consumer.

Respectfully yours,

Peter A. Kemmerer
Pottstown, PA